

LRP-10 - Adopt LRTP by MPO

Description

At this key decision a final plan is adopted by the MPO board. This plan includes the preferred scenario, fiscal constraint, and transportation conformity. It has been revised to reflect stakeholder comments received on the draft LRTP.

There is information developed in prior key decisions that informs this step.

Purpose

To review the Draft LRTP that reflects the public comment for final adoption

Outcome

Adopted LRTP

Partner	Role Type	Description
MPO	Decision Maker	Adopts a LRTP that addresses the transportation needs of the region while remaining consistent with the approved vision and goals.
FHWA/FTA	Advisor	Ensures that LRTP adoption meets all legal requirements.
State DOT	Advisor	Ensures that the LRTP meets all federal requirements and state interests.
Resource Agency	Advisor	Advise partners in the development of a conservation and mitigation strategy for the preferred transportation scenario. Approve a MOU, agreement or programmatic permit and performance monitoring strategy for mitigation sites.
Public Transportation Operator(s)	Advisor	Ensures that the LRTP meets transit interests.

Policy Questions

Questions are a way to elicit information and to validate that the information has been considered. The partners should discuss the listed questions to ensure a broad array of interests is considered at a key decision. Discussions arising from these questions support collaborative decision making.

Questions Partners Discuss

Questions about purpose and roles

- No specific questions

Questions about stakeholders, including modal and operational partners

- What comments have been received and how were they addressed?

Questions about the transportation process supporting the decision

- Have the implications of the adopted plan on bicyclists and pedestrians been considered? Has the role of pedestrian and bicycle transportation in meeting a range of identified community goals been documented?
- Have the legislative requirements for the inclusion of bicycle and pedestrian policies and projects into transportation plans been met? [See FHWA Guidance: Bicycle and Pedestrian Provisions of Federal Transportation Legislation](#)

Questions about other phases

- No specific questions

Questions about non-transportation sectors/processes

- Do we have agreement on implementation of the mitigation strategy?
- Have the impacts been communicated to engaged freight stakeholders?
- Have we considered the implications of the adopted plan on freight providers?
- Have we developed agreements for the use of markets to meet mitigation requirements?
- Have we identified a mitigation strategy that is acceptable to all partners that will meet retention goals for each impacted area or resource?
- How can conservation goals be met, if at all, through these mitigation approaches?
- What are our mitigation priorities?

Stakeholder Inputs

'Questions to Gather Stakeholder Interests' allow staff to determine which stakeholders have interests at a key decision and to collect those interests for partner consideration. 'Questions to Incorporate Stakeholder Interests' ensure the interests of stakeholders are included in the decision. For more help with stakeholder collaboration visit the Stakeholder Portal

Questions to Gather Stakeholder Interests

- None

Questions to Incorporate Stakeholder Interests

- None

Data

The following is a list of data needed to support the key decision. Practitioners collect this information for decision makers to consider.

Supporting Data for the Key Decision		
From other phases of transportation decision making	Long Range Planning	Fiscal constraint analysis that supports plan.
	Programming	No Specific Data.
	Corridor Planning	No Specific Data.
	Environmental Review	No Specific Data.
From other sectors and processes	Land Use	No Specific Data.
	Transportation Conformity	No Specific Data.
	Natural Environment and Implementing Eco-Logical	No Specific Data.
	Capital Improvement	No Specific Data.
	Safety and Security	No Specific Data.
	Human Environment	No Specific Data.
	Economic Development	No Specific Data.
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	No Specific Data.
	Freight	No Specific Data.
From the transportation technical process supporting this key decision	Approved air quality conformity analysis.	
	Existing pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure data and map	
	Proposed future pedestrian and bicycle network data map	
	Environmental streamlining opportunities and other time savings that can speed up the delivery of bicycle and pedestrian programs and projects	
From stakeholder collaboration	Public comment received.	
From public private partnership	No Specific Data.	

Links to Decisions

This table identifies how a key decision is connected to other key decisions. The linkages are a two-way transfer of information. Understanding and applying these linkages means that partners will recognize how a decision will impact other specific key decisions. Recognizing that the transportation processes are linked will: (1) encourage practitioners to produce information that can be used later and (2) remind them to look at information from previous key decisions.

linkages to other phases of transportation decision making

Key Decision	What is Linked?	Purpose of Linkage
To Programming		
PRO-4 - Approve Project Prioritization	Adopted LRTP with fiscal constraint	To provide plan revenue in horizon years
PRO-6 - Adopt TIP by MPO	Adopted LRTP	To inform the approval of projects
PRO-7 - Approve TIP by Governor and Incorporate into Draft STIP	Adopted LRTP	Validation of fiscal constraint for LRTP
To Corridor Planning		
COR-1 - Approve Scope of Corridor Planning Process	The adopted LRTP including information related to recommended improvements in the corridor	To help define the scope of the corridor planning process
To Environmental Review/NEPA Merged with Permitting		
ENV-1 - Reach Consensus on Scope of Environmental Review	Relevant information from the adopted long range plan, including strategies and scenarios that were evaluated and eliminated	To inform the scope of the environmental review process
ENV-8 - Approve Draft EIS with Conceptual Mitigation	Advance mitigation strategy and agreements from the adopted LRTP	To inform conceptual mitigation incorporated in the Draft EIS
ENV-12 - Reach Consensus on Avoidance and Minimization for the LEDPA	Advance mitigation strategy and agreements from the adopted LRTP	To inform avoidance and minimization for the LEDPA
ENV-15 - Render Permit Decision and Approve Avoidance and Minimization	Advance mitigation strategy and agreements from the adopted LRTP	To inform avoidance and minimization as well as compensatory mitigation incorporated with permit conditions

Examples

In-depth case studies of successful practices in collaborative decision making were used to develop the Decision Guide. Links in this table point to a specific paragraph or section of a case study that supports a key decision. It is not necessary to read through an entire case study to find the example; however, full versions are available in the Library.

PlanWorks Case Study Examples

- None

Other Examples

- None

Integrated Planning

Integrated Planning looks at the interaction between the transportation decision making process and other processes. Considering these inputs will ensure that important values and goals outside the transportation process are recognized and considered. For a full understanding of a specific process and how it influences transportation decisions, visit Applications.

Process	Integration Type	Integration Description
Land Use	None.	None.
Transportation Conformity	None.	None.
Natural Environment and Implementing Eco-Logical	Decision Between IEF Step 5 - Establish & Prioritize Ecological Actions and LRP-10	Transportation and resource agency partners make a joint decision about the conservation and mitigation strategy for the preferred scenario in the long range plan.
	Decision Between IEF Step 6 - Develop Crediting Strategy and LRP-10	Review and approve use of identified crediting strategies.
	Decision Between IEF Step 7 - Develop Programmatic Consultation, Biological Opinion or Permit and LRP-10	MOUs, agreements, programmatic 404 permits or programmatic ESA section 7 consultation (Note that individual permits would have to be issued during project development). Agreed upon performance monitoring strategy for mitigation sites.
Capital Improvement	None.	None.
Safety and Security	None.	None.
Human Environment	None.	None.
Economic Development	None.	None.
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	None.	None.
Freight	None.	None.
Bicycles and Pedestrians	None.	None.

Special Topics

This table provides an overview of the relationship between a key decision and individual special topics. A special topic may be an external process, a new regulation, or any emerging issue requiring collaboration. For a full understanding of a specific topic and how it influences transportation decisions, visit Applications.

Key Decision Relationship to Other Topics

Topic	Description
None	